



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/748,655	12/31/2003	Craig Nevill-Manning	0026-0049	2801
44989 7590 09/23/2009 HARRITY & HARRITY, LLP 11350 Random Hills Road SUITE 600 FAIRFAX, VA 22030				
EXAMINER ALL FARIAD				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
2446				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
09/23/2009		PAPER		

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

### Office Action Summary

**Application No.**

10/748,655

**Applicant(s)**

NEVILL-MANNING ET AL.

**Examiner**

FARHAD ALI

**Art Unit**

2446

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --  
**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 July 2009.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-7, 9-12 and 14-22 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-7, 9-12 and 14-22 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119**

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

**Attachment(s)**

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/S508)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_

**DETAILED ACTION**

**Status of Claims:**

**Claims 1-7, 9-12, and 14-22 are pending.**

**Claims 1-3, 7, 9-10, 12, 14-15, and 17-19 are amended.**

***Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114***

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 07/13/2009 has been entered.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 1-7, 9-12, and 14-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Goodman (US 5,999,929 A) in view of Winshell (US 2002/0099813 A1).

### Claim 1

Goodman teaches a method performed by a computer system, the method comprising:

retrieving, by a processor associated with the computer system, a first plurality of uniform resource locators (URLs), where one or more URLs of the first plurality of URLs include a parameter string (Column 5 Lines 1-4, **“the spider 14 uses URLs to identify Web pages to be retrieved for analysis”**);

selecting, by a processor associated with the computer system, one or more parameters present in parameter strings of the first plurality of URLs; selecting, by a processor associated with the computer system, a first URL from the retrieved first plurality of URLs, where the first URL includes the selected one or more parameters; generating, by a processor associated with the computer system, a second plurality of different URLs having different parameter combinations of the one or more selected parameters (Column 6 line 62 – Column 7 line 5, **“Since, as described above, a single Web page can be identified by different links, it will be desirable to determine when multiple links, which can be associated with the same and/or multiple classes, in fact identify the same Web page. To accomplish that operation, the Web page analyzer 15 converts each link to the canonical form, using URL “re-write rules” that it develops and stores in the URL re-write**

**rulebase 16B. The Web page analyzer 15 generates the re-write rules as it analyzes Web pages received from the spider 14”);**

retrieving, by a processor associated with the computer system, content using the first URL (**Column 5 Lines 5- 9 “After the spider 14 receives a Web page for analysis, it caches the Web page locally within the link referral system 10 with other cached Web pages, retrieved earlier by the spider 14, for later bulk analysis by the web page analyzer 15”);**

retrieving, by a processor associated with the computer system, content using the second plurality of different URLs; comparing, by a processor associated with the computer system, the content retrieved using the first URL to the content retrieved using the second plurality of different URLs (**Column 7 lines 41-50” In addition, the Web page analyzer can process each candidate URL generated by eliminating portions from the beginning of the World Wide Web address (such as candidate URL (1)) to eliminate portions from the end of the World Wide Web address, and each candidate URL generated by eliminating portions from the end of the World Wide Web address (such as candidate URLs (2), (3) and (4)) to eliminate portions from the beginning of the World Wide Web address”);** identifying, based on the comparing, one of the parameter combinations, that, when present in a particular URL, results in retrieving content that is approximately the same as the content corresponding to the first URL, the identifying being performed by a processor associated with the computer system; and generating, by a processor associated with the computer system, one or more URL rewrite rules based on the identified one of the parameter

combinations (Column 7-8 Lines 24-53, "In generating the URL re-write rules, the Web page analyzer 15 generally processes the URL from the outward most portions of the respective World Wide Web addresses, eliminating portions of the respective series, as defined by the separators, to determine candidate URLs. For the illustrative URL above, HTTP://www.netscape.com/ index.html", candidate URLs will generally include, for example, eliminating portions from the beginning of the World Wide Web address").

Goodman does not specifically disclose the parameter string comprising at least one parameter and a value associated with the at least one parameter.

Winshell teaches in Paragraph [0033] "Any implementation will have a method for specifying a set of rewriting rules. Each rule will specify the path part of the URL to be matched in the original URL and the set of parameter names whose names and values are to be rewritten into the path part of the modified URL entry by the rewriting software" in order to "to determine the specific query parameters that are to be moved (Paragraph [0022]).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to create the invention of Goodman reference to include "Any implementation will have a method for specifying a set of rewriting rules. Each rule will specify the path part of the URL to be matched in the original URL and the set of parameter names whose names and values are to be rewritten into the path part of the modified URL

entry by the rewriting software” as taught by Winshell in order to “determine the specific query parameters that are to be moved (Paragraph [0022]).

### **Claim 2**

The modified Goodman teaches the method of claim 1, where the second plurality of different URLs includes the first URL with no parameters, the first URL with one parameter of the one or more parameters, and the first URL with two or more of the one or more parameters (**Column 7 Lines 24-28, “In generating the URL re-write rules, the Web page analyzer 15 generally processes the URL from the outward most portions of the respective World Wide Web addresses, eliminating portions of the respective series, as defined by the separators, to determine candidate URLs”, and also see Column 7 Lines 28-50).**

### **Claim 3**

The modified Goodman teaches the method of claim 1, further comprising:

performing the selecting a first URL, the generating a second plurality of different URLs, the retrieving content using the first URL, the retrieving content using the plurality of URLs, the comparing the content, and the identifying one of the parameter combinations, for multiple different first URLs of the first plurality of URLs, each first URL including the one or more parameters; and

generating the one or more URL rewrite rules for the identified one of the parameter combinations for each of the first URLs (**See Claim 1 rejection**).

#### **Claim 4**

The modified Goodman teaches the method of claim 3, where the rewrite rules specify that parameters that do not occur in a threshold number of the identified one of the parameter combinations are to be removed (**Column 8 Lines 30-33, “After generating the score, the Web page analyzer 15 will store the candidate re-write rule in the URL re-write rulebase 16B if the score is below a predetermined threshold value”**).

#### **Claim 5**

The modified Goodman teaches the method of claim 1, wherein each rewrite rule applies to a particular web site or web host (**Column 5 Lines 17-21, “To assist in the duplicate Web page consolidation operation, the Web page analyzer 15 develops the URL re-write rulebase 16B, which contains rules which are used by the Web page analyzer 15 to convert URLs to respective canonical forms”**).

#### **Claim 6**

The modified Goodman teaches the method of claim 1, where the identified one of the parameter combinations includes a minimum number of parameters with respect other ones of the parameter combinations (**Column 7 Lines 40-50, examples show removing portions from the “beginning” and “end” of the World Wide Web address without ever actually removing the first unique part of the URL**).

#### **Claim 7**

Goodman teaches a method, performed by a computer system, for converting a uniform resource locator (URL) into a canonical form of the URL, the method comprising:

receiving a URL that refers to content and that includes a parameter string **(Column 5 Lines 1-4, “the spider 14 uses URLs to identify Web pages to be retrieved for analysis”);**

selecting, by a processor of the computer system, a rewrite rule by receiving a plurality of URLs that each include a particular parameter string, where the particular parameter string includes a combination of the one or more parameters selected from the parameter string included in the received URL, and identifying parameters of the one or more parameters that do not result in retrieving substantially different content, when present in a URL **(Column 7-8 Lines 24-53, “In generating the URL re-write rules, the Web page analyzer 15 generally processes the URL from the outward most portions of the respective World Wide Web addresses, eliminating portions of the respective series, as defined by the separators, to determine candidate URLs. For the illustrative URL above, HTTP://www.netscape.com/ index.html”, candidate URLs will generally include, for example, eliminating portions from the beginning of the World Wide Web address”);**

applying, by a processor of the computer system, the rewrite rule to the URL by removing the parameters that do not contribute to content from the URL; and outputting the rewritten URL as the canonical form of the URL **(Column 5 Lines 17-21, “To assist**

**in the duplicate Web page consolidation operation, the Web page analyzer 15 develops the URL re-write rulebase 16B, which contains rules which are used by the Web page analyzer 15 to convert URLs to respective canonical forms”).**

Goodman does not specifically disclose the parameter string including one or more parameters and values associated with the one or more parameters.

Winshell teaches in Paragraph [0033] “Any implementation will have a method for specifying a set of rewriting rules. Each rule will specify the path part of the URL to be matched in the original URL and the set of parameter names whose names and values are to be rewritten into the path part of the modified URL entry by the rewriting software” in order to “to determine the specific query parameters that are to be moved (Paragraph [0022]).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to create the invention of Goodman reference to include “Any implementation will have a method for specifying a set of rewriting rules. Each rule will specify the path part of the URL to be matched in the original URL and the set of parameter names whose names and values are to be rewritten into the path part of the modified URL entry by the rewriting software” as taught by Winshell in order to “determine the specific query parameters that are to be moved (Paragraph [0022]).

#### **Claim 9**

The modified Goodman teaches the method of claim 7, where the identifying parameters of the one or more parameters includes; retrieving first content corresponding to a first URL including a first combination of parameters;

retrieving second content corresponding to a second URL including a second combination of parameters, where the first combination of parameters includes at least one parameter not included in the second combination of parameters; and

identifying first content as substantially the same as the second content (Column 8 Lines 1-9, "If the Web page analyzer 15 determines in step 2b that the URLs in the entry are not identical to each other, it (that is, the Web page analyzer 15) find the shortest substitution rule that textually rewrites the longer URL into the shorter URL. For example, the shortest rule to change http://www.netscape.com/index.html" to HTTP://netscape.com/index.html" is to replace "www." with "" (that is, delete "www."). This rule is now a "candidate" rewrite rule").

#### Claim 10

The modified Goodman teaches the method of claim 9, where the second combination of parameters includes no parameters, an individual parameter of the first parameter combination, or a combination of two or more parameters of the first parameter combination (Column 7 Lines 24-28, "In generating the URL re-write rules, the Web page analyzer 15 generally processes the URL from the outward most portions of the respective World Wide Web addresses, eliminating portions

**of the respective series, as defined by the separators, to determine candidate URLs”, and also see Column 7 Lines 28-50).**

#### **Claim 11**

The modified Goodman teaches the method of claim 7, where the rewrite rule applies to a particular web site or web host **(Column 5 Lines 17-21, “To assist in the duplicate Web page consolidation operation, the Web page analyzer 15 develops the URL re-write rulebase 16B, which contains rules which are used by the Web page analyzer 15 to convert URLs to respective canonical forms”)**.

#### **Claim 12**

Goodman teaches one or more devices comprising:

at least one fetch bot to download content on a network from locations specified by uniform resource locators (URLs) **(Column 4 Lines 60-65, “spider”)**;

a content manager to extract URLs from the downloaded content **(Column 5 Lines 5-10, “Web page analyzer”)**;

a rewrite component to receive a URL that refers to content and that includes a parameter string, apply a predetermined rewrite rule to the URL that removes the at least one parameter from the URL when the at least one parameter does not affect the content referred to by the URL, where the predetermined rewrite rule is determined by receiving a plurality of URLs that include parameter strings comprising combinations of

parameters, and identifying parameters in the parameter string that do not result in retrieving substantially different content, when present in a URL; and output the rewritten URL as the canonical form of the URL (**Column 5 Lines 17-21, “To assist in the duplicate Web page consolidation operation, the Web page analyzer 15 develops the URL re-write rulebase 16B, which contains rules which are used by the Web page analyzer 15 to convert URLs to respective canonical forms”**); and a URL manager to store the canonical form of the URL (**Column 5 Lines 30-33, “The Web page analyzer 15 stores information regarding the identifications for the various classes and the Web page assignment information in the link class database 17”**).

Goodman does not specifically disclose the parameter string including at least one parameter and a value associated with the at least one parameter.

Winshell teaches in Paragraph [0033] “Any implementation will have a method for specifying a set of rewriting rules. Each rule will specify the path part of the URL to be matched in the original URL and the set of parameter names whose names and values are to be rewritten into the path part of the modified URL entry by the rewriting software” in order to “to determine the specific query parameters that are to be moved (Paragraph [0022]).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to create the invention of Goodman reference to include “Any implementation will have a method for specifying a set of rewriting rules. Each rule will specify the path

part of the URL to be matched in the original URL and the set of parameter names whose names and values are to be rewritten into the path part of the modified URL entry by the rewriting software" as taught by Winshell in order to "determine the specific query parameters that are to be moved (Paragraph [0022]).

#### **Claim 14**

The modified Goodman teaches the one or more devices of claim 12, where the identifying parameters in the parameter string includes; retrieving first content corresponding to a first URL including a first combination of parameters;

retrieving second content corresponding to a second URL including a second combination of parameters, where the first combination of parameters includes at least one parameter not included in the second combination of parameters; and identifying the first content as substantially the same as the second content (Column 8 Lines 1-9, "If the Web page analyzer 15 determines in step 2b that the URLs in the entry are not identical to each other, it (that is, the Web page analyzer 15) find the shortest substitution rule that textually rewrites the longer URL into the shorter URL. For example, the shortest rule to change http://www.netscape.com/index.html" to "HTTP://netscape.com/index.html" is to replace "www." with "" (that is, delete "www."). This rule is now a "candidate" rewrite rule").

#### **Claim 15**

The modified Goodman teaches the one or more devices of claim 14, where the second combination of parameters includes an individual parameter of the first parameter combination, or a combination of two or more parameters of the first parameter combination (Column 7 Lines 24-28, “In generating the URL re-write rules, the Web page analyzer 15 generally processes the URL from the outward most portions of the respective World Wide Web addresses, eliminating portions of the respective series, as defined by the separators, to determine candidate URLs”, and also see Column 7 Lines 28-50).

#### Claim 16

The modified Goodman teaches the one or more devices of claim 12, where each rewrite rule applies to a particular web site or web host (Column 5 Lines 17-21, “To assist in the duplicate Web page consolidation operation, the Web page analyzer 15 develops the URL re-write rulebase 16B, which contains rules which are used by the Web page analyzer 15 to convert URLs to respective canonical forms”).

#### Claim 17

Goodman teaches a system comprising:

one or more devices comprising means for receiving a first uniform resource locator (URL) including a parameter string, (Column 5 Lines 1-4, “the spider 14 uses URLs to identify Web pages to be retrieved for analysis”);

means for retrieving content corresponding to the first URL (Column 5 Lines 5-  
“After the spider 14 receives a Web page for analysis, it caches the Web page  
locally within the link referral system”);

means for retrieving content corresponding to a plurality of URLs having  
different parameter combinations of the one or more parameters, where the one or  
more parameters are selected from the parameter string; means for identifying the  
parameter combination from the plurality of URLs that corresponds to content that is  
approximately the same as the content corresponding to the first URL and that contains  
a minimum number of parameters compared to other parameter combinations;  
(Column 7-8 Lines 24-53, “In generating the URL re-write rules, the Web page  
analyzer 15 generally processes the URL from the outward most portions of the  
respective World Wide Web addresses, eliminating portions of the respective  
series, as defined by the separators, to determine candidate URLs. For the  
illustrative URL above, HTTP://www.netscape.com/ index.html”, candidate URLs  
will generally include, for example, eliminating portions from the beginning of the  
World Wide Web address”); and

means for generating one or more URL rewrite rules based on the  
identified parameter combination (Column 5 Lines 17-21, “To assist in the duplicate  
Web page consolidation operation, the Web page analyzer 15 develops the URL  
re-write rulebase 16B, which contains rules which are used by the Web page  
analyzer 15 to convert URLs to respective canonical forms”).

Goodman does not specifically disclose the parameter string includes one or more parameters and values associated with the one or more parameters.

Winshell teaches in Paragraph [0033] “Any implementation will have a method for specifying a set of rewriting rules. Each rule will specify the path part of the URL to be matched in the original URL and the set of parameter names whose names and values are to be rewritten into the path part of the modified URL entry by the rewriting software” in order to “to determine the specific query parameters that are to be moved (Paragraph [0022]).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to create the invention of Goodman reference to include “Any implementation will have a method for specifying a set of rewriting rules. Each rule will specify the path part of the URL to be matched in the original URL and the set of parameter names whose names and values are to be rewritten into the path part of the modified URL entry by the rewriting software” as taught by Winshell in order to “determine the specific query parameters that are to be moved (Paragraph [0022]).

### **Claim 18**

Goodman teaches a computer-readable memory device including programming instructions executed by a processor, the programming instructions comprising:

instructions for receiving a first uniform resource locator (URL) including a parameter string. (Column 5 Lines 1-4, “the spider 14 uses URLs to identify Web pages to be retrieved for analysis”);

instructions for retrieving content corresponding to the first URL (**Column 5 Lines 5- “After the spider 14 receives a Web page for analysis, it caches the Web page locally within the link referral system”**);

instructions for retrieving content corresponding to a plurality of URLs having different parameter combinations of the one or more parameters, where the one or more parameters are selected from the parameter string; instructions for identifying the parameter combination from the plurality of URLs that corresponds to content that is approximately the same as the content corresponding to the first URL and that includes a minimum number of parameters (**Column 7-8 Lines 24-53, “In generating the URL re-write rules, the Web page analyzer 15 generally processes the URL from the outward most portions of the respective World Wide Web addresses, eliminating portions of the respective series, as defined by the separators, to determine candidate URLs. For the illustrative URL above, HTTP://www.netscape.com/index.html”, candidate URLs will generally include, for example, eliminating portions from the beginning of the World Wide Web address”**); and

instructions for generating one or more URL rewrite rules based on the identified parameter combination (**Column 5 Lines 17-21, “To assist in the duplicate Web page consolidation operation, the Web page analyzer 15 develops the URL re-write rulebase 16B, which contains rules which are used by the Web page analyzer 15 to convert URLs to respective canonical forms”**).

Goodman does not specifically disclose the parameter string includes one or more parameters and values associated with the one or more parameters.

Winshell teaches in Paragraph [0033] “Any implementation will have a method for specifying a set of rewriting rules. Each rule will specify the path part of the URL to be matched in the original URL and the set of parameter names whose names and values are to be rewritten into the path part of the modified URL entry by the rewriting software” in order to “to determine the specific query parameters that are to be moved (Paragraph [0022]).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to create the invention of Goodman reference to include “Any implementation will have a method for specifying a set of rewriting rules. Each rule will specify the path part of the URL to be matched in the original URL and the set of parameter names whose names and values are to be rewritten into the path part of the modified URL entry by the rewriting software” as taught by Winshell in order to “determine the specific query parameters that are to be moved (Paragraph [0022]).

### **Claim 19**

The modified Goodman teaches the system of claim 17, where the different parameter combinations comprise an individual parameter of the one or more parameters, or a combination of two or more parameters of the one or more parameters (Column 7 Lines 24-28, “In generating the URL re-write rules, the Web page analyzer 15 generally processes the URL from the outward most portions of the

**respective World Wide Web addresses, eliminating portions of the respective series, as defined by the separators, to determine candidate URLs", and also see Column 7 Lines 28-50).**

#### **Claim 20**

The modified Goodman teaches the computer-readable memory device of claim 18, where the instructions for receiving a first URL, the instructions for retrieving content corresponding to the first URL, the instructions for retrieving content corresponding to a plurality of URLs, and the instructions for identifying the parameter combination are performed for multiple first URLs, each first URL including the one or more parameters **(See claim 18 rejection)**, and where the one or more URL rewrite rules specify that parameters that do not occur in a threshold number of the identified parameter combinations are to be removed **(Column 8 Lines 30-33, "After generating the score, the Web page analyzer 15 will store the candidate re-write rule in the URL re-write rulebase 16B if the score is below a predetermined threshold value")**.

#### **Claim 21**

The modified Goodman teaches the system of claim 17, further comprising:  
means for determining whether the content that corresponds to the plurality of URLs is approximately the same as the content that corresponds to the first URL using a similarity hash function **(Hash function is a well known function for comparing documents. Applicant admits in paragraph [0041] of specification "A document having "approximately the same content" as another document may be**

**determined using any of a number of known document comparison techniques, such as comparison techniques based on a similarity hash”).**

#### **Claim 22**

The modified Goodman teaches the computer-readable memory device of claim 18, where the rewrite rules specify that parameters that do not occur in a threshold number of the identified parameter combinations are to be removed (**Column 8 Lines 30-33, “After generating the score, the Web page analyzer 15 will store the candidate re-write rule in the URL re-write rulebase 16B if the score is below a predetermined threshold value”).**

#### ***Response to Arguments***

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-7, 9-12, and 14-22 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### ***Conclusion***

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to FARHAD ALI whose telephone number is (571)270-1920. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday, 7:30am to 5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jeffrey C. Pwu can be reached on (571) 272-6798. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Farhad Ali/  
Examiner, Art Unit 2446

/Jeffrey Pwu/  
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2446